

REMARKS

Claims 1, 12, 13 and 14 have been amended to more clearly define the invention. Support can be found on page 6, lines 12-16; page 9, lines 6-8, and throughout the application.

The Examiner has objected to the use of the word "comprises" in the Abstract. The Abstract has been amended to eliminate the word "comprises" by substituting -- having -- therefor. No new matter has been added. The objection has been overcome by amendment to the Abstract.

Claims 1-19 were rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph. Applicant has amended the claims to more clearly define the invention. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

The Examiner has stated that claims 1-19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph. Applicant believes that clarification was achieved by the amendments and respectfully requests the Examiner to allow all pending claims.

In view of the foregoing, allowance of the above-referenced application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



LOIS A. SANTOPIETRO
AGENT FOR APPLICANT
Registration No.: 36,264
Telephone: (302) 892-7752
Facsimile: (302) 892-7949

Dated: May 4, 2004

